

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,245	08/22/2003	Thomas B. Garman	18054 USA	9405
27081 7590 07/29/2005			. EXAMINER	
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.			PATTERSON, MARC A	
ONE SEAGATE, 25-LDP TOLEDO, OH 43666			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,	•		1772	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,245	GARMAN, THOMAS B.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marc A. Patterson	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
· ·	This action is non-final.	•
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-23,31 and 32 is	s/are withdrawn from consider	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-30</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ A'll b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docun</li> </ol>	nents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docun</li></ol>	nents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
2) 🔛 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948	rapei 140(s	
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/646,245 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Claims 24 – 30 in the reply filed on April 25, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that Applicant has amended Claims 1 and 14 to remove the limitation of telescoping or moving the ring over the closed end, and Claims 8 and 18 do not include the limitation of moving a ring over a closed end. This is not found persuasive because the original presentation of Claims 1 and 14 included the limitation on which the restriction was based, and Claims 8 and 18 also include the limitation of moving the ring over a specific end of the preform, because the ring is moved over the protrusion; the assembly or finish of Claims 24 – 30 could be made by moving the ring over the opposite end of the preform, which is a materially different method. Amended Claims 1 and 14 could also be used to produce a materially different product from the product of Claims 24 – 30, such as an assembly in which the portion of the preform to which the ring is abuttingly engaged is a portion that is neither a flange nor a protrusion.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 24 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Cuyper (U.S. Patent No. 6,079,579).

With regard to Claims 24 and 26 - 30, De Cuyper discloses a preform and an attachment object (cap, therefore a shroud; column 2, lines 29 - 31) the attachment object having a ring (therefore a circumferentially continuous or circumferentially split ring; column 2, lines 36 - 37) in abutting external engagement with a portion of the preform (lies against a seat, therefore received over the seat, which is an attachment feature; column 3, lines 63 - 65) in such a way that interference between the ring and the portion of the preform prevents dislodgement or removal of the attachment object (fixed; column 4, lines 10 - 14; Figure 10 also shows that the ring is seated between two projections, numbered '122,' therefore captured between a radially outwardly projecting circumferential flange and an external protrusion, which is also a retention bead for retaining the ring, spaced apart from the flange); however, the claimed aspect of the engagement being in such a way that interference between the ring and the portion of the preform prevents dislodgement or removal of the attachment object is directed to an intended use, and is therefore given little patentable weight.

With regard to Claim 25, the ring disclosed by De Cuyper is in surface press fit engagement with the surface of the preform (the cap is attached by a snap connection; column 4, lines 33 - 37).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc A Patterson whose telephone number is 571-272-1497. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marc A. Patterson, PhD. Examiner
Art Unit 1772